

#4  
Amend  
2-B-O

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Raymond Li

Examiner: K. Tung

Serial No. 09/047,320

Art Group: 2776-2671

Filing Date: March 24, 1998

Docket No. 0100.01142

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS OF VIDEO GRAPHICS AND AUDIO PROCESSING**

Hon. Commissioner of  
Patents and Trademarks  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: K. Tung

*Certificate of First Class Mailing*  
*I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the*  
*United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an*  
*envelope addressed to: Hon. Commissioner of Patents*  
*and Trademarks, U.S. Patent & Trademark Office,*  
*Washington, D.C. 20231, on this date.*

*6/1/00*  
Date

*Rosalie Swanson*  
Rosalie Swanson

**AMENDMENT**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed July 29, 1999 regarding the above patent application, Applicants respectfully submit the following response.

**REMARKS**

In the above-referenced Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Perrin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577). Claims 2-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin (U.S. Pat./ No. 5,872,577) in view of Neal et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,761,462). Claims 2-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577) in view of Herbert et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,752,010). These rejections have been traversed and reconsideration is hereby respectfully requested.

1. Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Perrin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577). The Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's